

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,087	11/13/2003	Kazuhisa Yamamoto	SNK-3750US3	7923
23122 7550 03/19/2008 RATNERPRESTI P O BOX 980 VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482-0980			EXAMINER	
			VAN ROY, TOD THOMAS	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2828	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/712.087 YAMAMOTO ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit TOD T. VAN ROY 2828 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) TOD T. VAN ROY. (3)Deborah Groove. (2) Minsun Harvey. (4)Allan Ratner. Date of Interview: 12 March 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: 78. Identification of prior art discussed: Yammamoto. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner acknowledged differences between the instant invention and the prior art appear to exist, and recommend claim limitations be directed towards differences in physical features between the invention and said prior art. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03) Interview Summary Paper No. 20080312

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

/Minsun Harvey/

Examiner's signature, if required